

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,501	05/10/2004		lgor K. Voln	·	3500
40796 IGOR VOLN	7590 10/31/2007			EXAMINER	
2464 PRINCI	E EDWARD ST.	INGBERG, TODD D			
HONOLULU	HONOLULU, CA 96815			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2193	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/709,501	VOLN, IGOR K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Todd Ingberg	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	LVIO OET TO EVOIDE «NON	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING    - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutary and the provision of time may be available under the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	August 2007					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/13/2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		paivad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
		•				
Attachment(s)	٠, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١,					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· <del></del>	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mal Patent Application				

m 2 1

# DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 16 have been examined.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, and 15 have been amended.

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed May 10, 2004 has been considered.

#### **Drawings**

2. The new drawings filed June 13, 2007 have been accepted.

#### Specification

3. A substitute specification is required because the font and headers (missing or in wrong place i.e. Abstract) are wrong (too large).

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

#### Content of Specification

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/709,501

Art Unit: 2193

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth.

Art Unit: 2193

Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Page 4

- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (l) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

#### Claim Objections

4. When amending claims please put the following behind the claim number (currently amended). If the claim was amended in the past but not with this submission. Please, put

Art Unit: 2193

(previously presented) behind the claim number. If the claim has never been amended. Please put (original) behind the claim number.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The presence of terms in parenthesis is unclear if this is a limitation. Corrective action required.

Claim 15 is indefinite with the limitation "built according to the commonly accepted code indentation rules."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 1 is a method claim with no steps. The entire claim is only a preamble. The claim is not a valid claim. No prior art rejection can be applied.

1 (Currently Amended)

Art Unit: 2193

A method that presents structured entities in a tree-like form based on a set of user provided rules.

## 2 (Original)

A method according to claim 1 wherein the rules are a BNF/EBNF grammar.

#### 3 (Original)

A method according to claim 2 wherein the input (instance of a structured entity) is a piece of code in a programming language, or data, or binary file, or a communication protocol message(s).

#### 4 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 1 that builds multiple different tree-like representations for the same structured content based on different sets of mapping rules.

#### 5 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 1 further comprising the steps of creating, modifying, and deleting mapping rules used for representation buildings;

if mapping rules change, the structured entity representation can be dynamically rebuilt according to the updated set of rules.

#### 6 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 3 further comprising the steps of writing programs or modifying data manipulating nodes in tree-like representations of structured entity.

#### 7 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 3 where representation is built only for some parts of the structured content.

#### 8 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 1 further comprising the opportunity to create an instance of representation of structured entity that includes only a subset of the nodes of a parent representation.

#### 9 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 1 further comprising the opportunity to drill-down the nodes of the tree-like representation besides what the user sees in the original structured entity to expand the underlying properties of the structured entity or expose the related content, which could also be represented according to the claim 1.

#### 10 (Currently Amended)

A method of editing content using tree-like representation according to claim 1 that does not let, the-user enter the content that does not conform to the related grammar or verifies

Art Unit: 2193

the changes at the time of the merge, with tree-like representation to determine which ones changes which can and changes which can or can't be applied, and notifies the user.

#### 11 (Original)

A method according to claim 1 when the user is not a person, but a program.

#### 12 (Original)

A method according to claim 1 where instances of a structured entity are modified using both its regular representation and the tree like representation described in the present invention.

### 13 (Currently Amended)

A method of source control based on the tree-like representation according to the claim 3, when the content is locked for manipulations at the level of nodes or sets of nodes of the representation tree, so that multiple users can work on different parts of the content of the same structured entity simultaneously.

## 14 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 1 where the mapping rules for representations are pre-built for the user according to the anticipated user' needs or preferences.

## 15 (Currently Amended)

A method according to claim 3 where the tree-like representation for code files is built in such a way that positioning of elements in the tree matches according to one of the commonly accepted code indentation rules, so that no matter how the original input is formatted, user can view the representation in a way that is easy to comprehend.

## 16 (Original)

A method according to claim 1 where a prerecorded sequence of actions can be applied to a set of nodes of tree-like representation based on the factors common for the set of nodes or their content.

#### Conclusion

9. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute

Art Unit: 2193

the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

10. Examiner proposes the Inventor and Examiner conduct a telephone Interview. The Examiner understands the Inventor lives in Hawaii and is willing to assist the Inventor.

## Correspondence Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd Ingberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on during the work week..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2193

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd Ingberg

Primary Examiner